1 HONORABLE BARBARA J. ROTHSTEIN 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 10 11 SIERRA CLUB, et al., No. C11-1759BJR 12 Plaintiffs, JOINT MOTION TO GOVERN AND 13 STIPULATED [PROPOSED] ORDER and 14 SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR THE SPOKANE TRIBE OF INDIANS, EPA'S AND INTERVENOR-15 **DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS** Plaintiff-Intervenor, 16 17 v. Note on Motions Calendar: June 17, 2020 18 REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR OF THE 19 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, CHRIS HLADICK, et al., 20 Defendants, 21 22 and 23 SPOKANE COUNTY; KAISER 24 ALUMINUM WASHINGTON LLC: and STATE OF WASHINGTON 25 DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY, 26 Defendant-Intervenors. 27 28 29 David J. Kaplan. JOINT MOTION AND STIPULATED United States Department of Justice [PROPOSED] ORDER SETTING **Environmental Defense Section** SCHEDULE FOR MOTIONS TO DISMISS - 1 P.O. Box 7611

Case No. C11-1759BJR

Washington D.C. 20044

The Parties in this action -- Plaintiffs Sierra Club and Center for Environmental Law &

Policy (collectively "Sierra Club"), Defendants the Regional Administrator of the Environmental

21

23

22

24 25

26 27

28 29

> JOINT MOTION AND STIPULATED [PROPOSED] ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE FOR MOTIONS TO DISMISS - 2

Case No. C11-1759BJR

Protection Agency, Chris Hladick and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (collectively "EPA"), Plaintiff-Intervenor Spokane Tribe of Indians (the "Tribe"), Defendant-Intervenor Spokane County, Defendant-Intervenor Kaiser Aluminum Washington LLC, and Defendant-Intervenor State of Washington, Department of Ecology -- jointly submit this motion to govern and proposed stipulated briefing schedule for EPA's and the Defendant-Intervenors' motions to dismiss the supplemental complaints in this case. The grounds for this joint motion and proposed stipulated briefing schedule are as follows:

1) In this case, Plaintiff Sierra Club and Plaintiff-Intervenor the Tribe alleged in their original amended complaints under the Clean Water Act and Administrative Procedure Act ("APA") that EPA had a nondiscretionary duty under the Clean Water Act, based on the constructive submission theory, to issue a total maximum daily load ("TMDL") for polychlorinated biphenyls ("PCBs") for the Spokane River. After summary judgment briefing, the Court issued its opinion on March 16, 2015, holding that a constructive submission did not occur and thus rejecting those claims, but also holding that EPA acted contrary to law and abused its discretion in finding the Spokane River Regional Toxics Task Force ("Task Force") a suitable alternative to the TMDL without a schedule, and remanding to EPA to adopt a schedule for measuring and the completion of the work of the Task Force on PCBs. Dkt. 120. EPA completed its response to the Court's remand on July 14, 2015. See Dkt. 129. On April 5, 2016, the Ninth Circuit dismissed appeals of the Court's opinion in this case (Dkt. 120). Dkt. 158.

- 2) The Court on June 1, 2016, granted Plaintiffs' motion for leave to file a supplemental complaint, Dkt. 160, which Sierra Club filed on June 2, 2016, Dkt. 162 (Sierra Club's Second Amended Complaint), and Plaintiff-intervenor the Tribe filed on June 24, 2016. Dkt. 168 (Tribe's Third Amended Complaint). Those supplemental complaints challenge under the Administrative Procedure Act EPA's response to the Court's remand. On June 23, 2016, the Parties stipulated to, and on June 24, 2016, the Court entered, a schedule to brief EPA's and Defendant-Intervenors' anticipated motions to dismiss the supplemental complaints. Dkts 166 & 167.
- 3) Before briefing commenced on the anticipated motions to dismiss, Sierra Club and the Tribe, with the concurrences of the other parties, moved to hold this case in abeyance, because Plaintiffs stated that they were considering whether to voluntarily dismiss their supplemental complaints based upon the future outcome of certain ongoing State proceedings in other matters. Dkts. 180 & 183. To preserve the status quo that existed at the time based on the Parties' stipulation and the Court's prior orders regarding the anticipated motions to dismiss the supplemental complaints, Dkts. 166 & 167, that motion for a stay stipulated that if Sierra Club and the Tribe do not voluntarily dismiss this action, a motion to govern would be filed by all parties that would set out a schedule for EPA and the Defendant-Intervenors to file, brief and resolve their anticipated motions to dismiss this action before any additional substantive or procedural litigation in this case. Dkts. 180 & 183.
- 4) The Court granted and extended the abeyance of this case on September 12, 2016 and January 26, 2017, Dkts. 182 & 184, and directed the Parties to file status reports every 120 days. Dkt. 184. Based on the aforementioned stipulations, the Court's orders provide that if Sierra Club and the Tribe do not voluntarily dismiss these cases, the Parties should file a motion

JOINT MOTION AND STIPULATED [PROPOSED] ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE FOR MOTIONS TO DISMISS - 3

to govern that "set[s] out a schedule for EPA and Defendant-Intervenors to file and brief their anticipated motion to dismiss." Dkts. 182 & 184.

- 5) Plaintiff Sierra Club and Plaintiff-Intervenor the Tribe have decided not to voluntarily dismiss their supplemental complaints. Accordingly, the Parties propose the following stipulated briefing schedule to brief and resolve EPA's and the Defendant-Intervenors' anticipated motions to dismiss this case for lack of jurisdiction, before any other substantive or procedural motions are filed. To reduce potential redundancy and the number of separate motions to dismiss that may be necessary, the schedule proposes that the Defendant-Interveners file their motions to dismiss supporting EPA's motion one week after EPA files its motion, that Plaintiff-Intervenor file its opposition one week after Sierra Club files its opposition, and limit the length of each of those filings by the Intervenors to 12 pages.
 - 1.A. EPA's Motion to Dismiss (limited to 24 pages)

 July 17, 2020
 - 1.B. Motions to Dismiss, by Spokane County,
 Kaiser Aluminum, and the State of Washington
 (each limited to 12 pages)

 July 24, 2020
 - 2.A. Consolidated Opposition to the Motions to Dismiss, to be filed by
 Sierra Club (limited to 36 pages)

 August 7, 2020
 - 2.B. Consolidated Opposition to the Motions to Dismiss, to be filed by the Tribe (limited to 12 pages)

 August 14, 2020
 - 3.A. EPA's Reply on its Motion to Dismiss (limited to 20 pages) August 28, 2020
 - 3.B. Replies, by Spokane County,
 Kaiser Aluminum, and the State of Washington
 (each limited to 6 pages)
 September 4, 2020

Case 2:11-cv-01759-BJR Document 198 Filed 06/17/20 Page 5 of 8

1	6) While adhering to the stipulation, the Court's minute order and the schedule set			
2	forth above, Sierra Club informs the Court that it ultimately intends to seek leave to file a Third			
3	Supplemental Complaint to add additional claims under the Clean Water Act and the APA, and			
4	to update Plaintiffs' allegations to account for the change in circumstances that have transpired in			
5	the last four years since the filing of the Second Supplemental Complaint. Sierra Club issued a			
6 7	supplemental Clean Water Act notice of intent to sue under 33 U.S.C. § 1365(b)(2) to the federal			
8	defendants on February 21, 2020, to this end. The Sierra Club refrains from now moving to			
9				
10	amend its complaint only because the Parties' stipulation provides that the Court must first hear			
11	and resolve EPA's and Defendant-Intervenors' motion or motions to dismiss.			
12				
13				
14	ORDER			
15				
16				
17				
18	IT IS SO ORDERED, on this day of, 2020.			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23	United States District Judge			
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
-	JOINT MOTION AND STIPULATED [PROPOSED] ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE FOR MOTIONS TO DISMISS - 5 David J. Kaplan. United States Department of Justice Environmental Defense Section P.O. Box 7611			

Case No. C11-1759BJR

P.O. Box 7611 Washington D.C. 20044

1	Respectfully submitted by:	
2		SMITH & LOWNEY, PLLC
3		By: /S/ Richard Smith
4		Richard A. Smith, WSBA #21788
5		Attorneys for Plaintiff 2317 E. John St., Seattle, WA 98112
6		Tel: (206) 860-2883
7		Fax: (206) 860-4187
8		
9		By: /S/ David Kaplan
		DAVID J. KAPLAN Attorneys for Federal Defendants
10		United States Department of Justice
11		Environmental Defense Section
12		P.O. Box 7611
		Washington, DC 20044
13		(202) 514-0997
14		David.kaplan@usdoj.gov
15		
		FOSTER GARVEY, PC
16		By: /S/ John Nelson
17		John Ray Nelson, WSBA #16393
18		Attorneys for Intervenor Spokane County
19		1111 Third Ave., Ste. 3400, Seattle, WA 98101
19		(206) 447-4400
20		terrl@foster.com
21		PERKINS COIE LLP
22		By: /S/ Margaret Hupp
23		Margaret C. Hupp, WSBA #43295 Attorneys for Intervenor Kaiser Aluminum Washington LLC
24		1201 Third Ave., Ste. 4800, Seattle, WA 98101
25		(206) 359-8000 MHupp@parkinscoia.com
26		MHupp@perkinscoie.com
27		
28		
29		

JOINT MOTION AND STIPULATED [PROPOSED] ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE FOR MOTIONS TO DISMISS - 6

Case 2:11-cv-01759-BJR Document 198 Filed 06/17/20 Page 7 of 8

1		BOB FERGUSON Attorney General
2	F	Attorney General
3		By: <u>/S/ Ronald Lavigne</u> Ronald L. Lavigne, WSBA #18550
4		Attorneys for Intervenor State of Washington, Dept. of Ecology
5		P.O. Box 40117, Olympia, WA 98504 360) 586-6751
6		RonaldL@atg.wa.gov
7		S/ Theodore Knight
8		Theodore Clare Knight, WSBA# 39683 Attorney for Intervenor the Spokane Tribe of Indians
9	9	121 NE Briar Rose Lane
10		Bainbridge Island, WA 98110 509-953-1908
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
		B 'I I I I

JOINT MOTION AND STIPULATED [PROPOSED] ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE FOR MOTIONS TO DISMISS - 7

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Court on June 17, 2020, using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of said filing to

the attorneys in this case registered with the Court's CM/ECF system.

I hereby certify that the foregoing filing was electronically filed with the Clerk of the

/S/ David Kaplan

JOINT MOTION AND STIPULATED

[PROPOSED] ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE FOR MOTIONS TO DISMISS - 8

Case No. C11-1759BJR